#### Hausdorff operators in $H^p$ spaces, 0

#### Elijah Liflyand joint work with Akihiko Miyachi

Bar-Ilan University

June, 2018

Elijah Liflyand joint work with Akihiko Miyacł

- **(() ) ) ( () ) ) () )** 

the Hausdorff operators turn out to be a very effective testing area, in dimension one and especially in several dimensions.

< 3 > < 3

the Hausdorff operators turn out to be a very effective testing area, in dimension one and especially in several dimensions.

 After publication of the paper by L-Móricz in 2000, Hausdorff operators have attracted much attention.

the Hausdorff operators turn out to be a very effective testing area, in dimension one and especially in several dimensions.

- After publication of the paper by L-Móricz in 2000, Hausdorff operators have attracted much attention.
- In contrast to the study of the Hausdorff operators in  $L^p$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , and in the Hardy space  $H^1$ , the study of these operators in the Hardy spaces  $H^p$  with p < 1 holds a specific place and there are very few results on this topic.

- 4 同 ト - 4 三 ト - 4 三

the Hausdorff operators turn out to be a very effective testing area, in dimension one and especially in several dimensions.

- After publication of the paper by L-Móricz in 2000, Hausdorff operators have attracted much attention.
- In contrast to the study of the Hausdorff operators in  $L^p$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , and in the Hardy space  $H^1$ , the study of these operators in the Hardy spaces  $H^p$  with p < 1 holds a specific place and there are very few results on this topic.
- In dimension one, after Kanjin, Miyachi, and Weisz, more or less final results were given in a joint paper by L-Miyachi.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

the Hausdorff operators turn out to be a very effective testing area, in dimension one and especially in several dimensions.

- After publication of the paper by L-Móricz in 2000, Hausdorff operators have attracted much attention.
- In contrast to the study of the Hausdorff operators in  $L^p$ ,  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , and in the Hardy space  $H^1$ , the study of these operators in the Hardy spaces  $H^p$  with p < 1 holds a specific place and there are very few results on this topic.
- In dimension one, after Kanjin, Miyachi, and Weisz, more or less final results were given in a joint paper by L-Miyachi.
- The results differ from those for L<sup>p</sup>, 1 ≤ p ≤ ∞, and H<sup>1</sup>, since they involve smoothness conditions on the averaging function, which seem unusual but unavoidable.

Given a function  $\phi$  on the half line  $(0,\infty),$  the Hausdorff operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  is defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}f)(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} f(\frac{x}{t}) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Given a function  $\phi$  on the half line  $(0,\infty),$  the Hausdorff operator  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$  is defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}f)(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} f(\frac{x}{t}) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

• If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , an application of Minkowski's inequality gives

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\phi}f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} |\phi(t)| \|\frac{1}{t}f(\frac{\cdot}{t})\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})} dt = A_{p}(\phi) \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})},$$

where

$$A_p(\phi) = \int_0^\infty |\phi(t)| t^{-1+1/p} \, dt.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  is bounded in  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , provided  $A_{p}(\phi) < \infty$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Given a function  $\phi$  on the half line  $(0,\infty),$  the Hausdorff operator  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$  is defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}f)(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} f(\frac{x}{t}) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

• If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , an application of Minkowski's inequality gives

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\phi}f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} |\phi(t)| \|\frac{1}{t}f(\frac{\cdot}{t})\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})} dt = A_{p}(\phi) \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})},$$

where

$$A_p(\phi) = \int_0^\infty |\phi(t)| t^{-1+1/p} \, dt.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  is bounded in  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , provided  $A_{p}(\phi) < \infty$ . • Notice that the above simple argument for using Minkowski's inequality cannot be applied to  $H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$  with p < 1.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Given a function  $\phi$  on the half line  $(0,\infty),$  the Hausdorff operator  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$  is defined by

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}f)(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(t)}{t} f(\frac{x}{t}) dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

• If  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , an application of Minkowski's inequality gives

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\phi}f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \int_{0}^{\infty} |\phi(t)| \|\frac{1}{t}f(\frac{\cdot}{t})\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})} dt = A_{p}(\phi) \|f\|_{L^{p}(\mathbb{R})},$$

where

$$A_p(\phi) = \int_0^\infty |\phi(t)| t^{-1+1/p} \, dt.$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  is bounded in  $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , provided  $A_{p}(\phi) < \infty$ .

- Notice that the above simple argument for using Minkowski's inequality cannot be applied to  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$  with p < 1.
- We shall simply say that H<sub>φ</sub> is bounded in H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ) if H<sub>φ</sub> is well-defined in a dense subspace of H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ) and if it is extended to a bounded operator in H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ).

• Theorem A. (Kanjin) Let 0 and <math>M = [1/p - 1/2] + 1. Suppose  $A_1(\phi) < \infty$ ,  $A_2(\phi) < \infty$ , and suppose  $\widehat{\phi}$  (the Fourier transform of the function  $\phi$  extended to the whole real line by setting  $\phi(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 0$ ) is a function of class  $C^{2M}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\xi|^M |\widehat{\phi}^{(M)}(\xi)| < \infty$  and  $\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\xi|^M |\widehat{\phi}^{(2M)}(\xi)| < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  is bounded in  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

- Theorem A. (Kanjin) Let 0 and <math>M = [1/p 1/2] + 1. Suppose  $A_1(\phi) < \infty$ ,  $A_2(\phi) < \infty$ , and suppose  $\widehat{\phi}$  (the Fourier transform of the function  $\phi$  extended to the whole real line by setting  $\phi(t) = 0$  for  $t \leq 0$ ) is a function of class  $C^{2M}$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  with  $\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\xi|^M |\widehat{\phi}^{(M)}(\xi)| < \infty$  and  $\sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}} |\xi|^M |\widehat{\phi}^{(2M)}(\xi)| < \infty$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  is bounded in  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$ .
- Theorem B. (L-Miyachi) Let 0 , <math>M = [1/p 1/2] + 1, and let  $\epsilon$  be a positive real number. Suppose  $\phi$  is a function of class  $C^M$  on  $(0, \infty)$  such that

$$|\phi^{(k)}(t)| \leq \min\{t^{\epsilon}, t^{-\epsilon}\}t^{-1/p-k} \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, M.$$

Then  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  is bounded in  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

• An immediate corollary of Theorems A and B is the following

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

- An immediate corollary of Theorems A and B is the following
- Theorem C. Let 0 and <math>M = [1/p 1/2] + 1. If  $\phi$  is a function on  $(0, \infty)$  of class  $C^M$  and  $\operatorname{supp} \phi$  is a compact subset of  $(0, \infty)$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  is bounded in  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

(日) (同) (三) (三)

- An immediate corollary of Theorems A and B is the following
- Theorem C. Let 0 and <math>M = [1/p 1/2] + 1. If  $\phi$  is a function on  $(0, \infty)$  of class  $C^M$  and  $\operatorname{supp} \phi$  is a compact subset of  $(0, \infty)$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  is bounded in  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$ .
- It is noteworthy that the above theorems impose certain smoothness assumption on  $\phi$ . In fact, this smoothness assumption cannot be removed since we have the next theorem.

- An immediate corollary of Theorems A and B is the following
- Theorem C. Let 0 and <math>M = [1/p 1/2] + 1. If  $\phi$  is a function on  $(0, \infty)$  of class  $C^M$  and  $\operatorname{supp} \phi$  is a compact subset of  $(0, \infty)$ , then  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  is bounded in  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$ .
- It is noteworthy that the above theorems impose certain smoothness assumption on  $\phi$ . In fact, this smoothness assumption cannot be removed since we have the next theorem.
- Theorem D. (L-Miyachi) There exists a function  $\phi$  on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $\phi$  is bounded,  $\operatorname{supp} \phi$  is a compact subset of  $(0, \infty)$ , and, for every  $p \in (0, 1)$ , the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  is not bounded in  $H^p(\mathbb{R})$ .

# Special atomic decomposition - Miyachi

**Definition.** Let 0 and let <math>M be a positive integer. For  $0 < s < \infty$ , we define  $\mathcal{A}_{p,M}(s)$  as the set of all those  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  for which  $\widehat{f}(\xi) = 0$  for  $|\xi| \leq \frac{1}{s}$  and

$$\|D^{\alpha}\widehat{f}\|_{L^{2}} \leq s^{|\alpha| - \frac{n}{p} + \frac{n}{2}}, \qquad |\alpha| \leq M.$$

We define  $\mathcal{A}_{p,M}$  as the union of  $\mathcal{A}_{p,M}(s)$  over all  $0 < s < \infty$ . **Lemma.** Let 0 and <math>M be a positive integer satisfying  $M > \frac{n}{p} - \frac{n}{2}$ . Then there exists a constant  $c_{p,M}$ , depending only on n, p and M, such that the following hold.

(1)  $\|f(\cdot - x_0)\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_{p,M}$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p,M}$  and all  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ; (2) Every  $f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  can be decomposed as  $f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j f_j(\cdot - x_j)$ , where  $f_j \in \mathcal{A}_{p,M}$ ,  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda_j < \infty$ , and  $\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq c_{p,M} \|f\|_{H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ , and the series converges in  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

If  $f \in H^p \cap L^2$ , then this decomposition can be made so that the series converges in  $L^2$  as well.

• Weisz: in a product space, not sharp.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三

- Weisz: in a product space, not sharp.
- Dashan Fan and his collaborators: observing that in dimension one the Hausdorff operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  can be rewritten in a symmetric form

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}f)(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(\frac{x}{t}) \frac{f(t)}{t} dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

- Weisz: in a product space, not sharp.
- Dashan Fan and his collaborators: observing that in dimension one the Hausdorff operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  can be rewritten in a symmetric form

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}f)(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(\frac{x}{t}) \frac{f(t)}{t} dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

they study the following multidimensional operator

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{-n} \Phi(\frac{x}{|u|}) f(u) \, du.$$

- Weisz: in a product space, not sharp.
- Dashan Fan and his collaborators: observing that in dimension one the Hausdorff operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  can be rewritten in a symmetric form

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}f)(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(\frac{x}{t}) \frac{f(t)}{t} dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

• they study the following multidimensional operator

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{-n} \Phi(\frac{x}{|u|}) f(u) \, du.$$

 There are many objections, the main one – it is not a Hausdorff operator!

- Weisz: in a product space, not sharp.
- Dashan Fan and his collaborators: observing that in dimension one the Hausdorff operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$  can be rewritten in a symmetric form

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\phi}f)(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(\frac{x}{t}) \frac{f(t)}{t} dt, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

they study the following multidimensional operator

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{-n} \Phi(\frac{x}{|u|}) f(u) \, du.$$

 There are many objections, the main one – it is not a Hausdorff operator!

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |u|^{-n} \Phi(u) f(\frac{x}{|u|}) \, du$$

is but indeed is not bounded in any  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with p < 1.

٥

### More general operators

Before proceeding to the multivariate case, consider a somewhat more advanced one-dimensional version of the Hausdorff operator, apparently first introduced by Kuang:

$$(\mathcal{H}f)(x) = (\mathcal{H}_{\varphi,a}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} \frac{\varphi(t)}{a(t)} f(\frac{x}{a(t)}) dt \,,$$

where a(t) > 0 and a'(t) > 0 for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  except maybe t = 0. **Theorem E.** Let 0 , <math>M = [1/p - 1/2] + 1, and let  $\epsilon$  be a positive real number. Suppose  $\varphi$  is a function of class  $C^M$  on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $\varphi$  and a satisfy the compatibility condition

$$\left| \left( \frac{1}{a'(t)} \frac{d}{dt} \right)^k \frac{\varphi(t)}{a'(t)} \right| \leq \min\{|a(t)|^{\epsilon}, |a(t)|^{-\epsilon}\} |a(t)|^{-1/p-k}$$

for k = 0, 1, ..., M. Then  $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}, a$  is a bounded linear operator in  $H^p$ .

• We consider the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  defined as follows.

(日) (同) (三) (三)

- We consider the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  defined as follows.
- Let  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to M_n(\mathbb{R})$  be given, where  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the class of all  $n \times n$  real matrices.

- We consider the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  defined as follows.
- Let  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to M_n(\mathbb{R})$  be given, where  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the class of all  $n \times n$  real matrices.
- Assuming the matrix A(u) be nonsingular for almost every u with  $\Phi(u) \neq 0$ , we define  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  by

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(u) |\det A(u)|^{-1} f(x^{t}A(u)^{-1}) du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

- We consider the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  defined as follows.
- Let  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to M_n(\mathbb{R})$  be given, where  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the class of all  $n \times n$  real matrices.
- Assuming the matrix A(u) be nonsingular for almost every u with  $\Phi(u) \neq 0$ , we define  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  by

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(u) |\det A(u)|^{-1} f(x^{t}A(u)^{-1}) du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

• where  ${}^{t}A(u)^{-1}$  denotes the inverse of the transpose of the matrix A(u), and  $x {}^{t}A(u)^{-1}$  denotes the row *n*-vector obtained by multiplying the row *n*-vector *x* by the  $n \times n$  matrix  ${}^{t}A(u)^{-1}$ .

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

- We consider the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  defined as follows.
- Let  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to M_n(\mathbb{R})$  be given, where  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the class of all  $n \times n$  real matrices.
- Assuming the matrix A(u) be nonsingular for almost every u with  $\Phi(u) \neq 0$ , we define  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  by

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(u) |\det A(u)|^{-1} f(x^{t}A(u)^{-1}) du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

- where  ${}^{t}A(u)^{-1}$  denotes the inverse of the transpose of the matrix A(u), and  $x {}^{t}A(u)^{-1}$  denotes the row *n*-vector obtained by multiplying the row *n*-vector *x* by the  $n \times n$  matrix  ${}^{t}A(u)^{-1}$ .
- The Fourier transform of  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f$  is (formally) calculated from the definition as

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f)^{\wedge}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(u)\widehat{f}(\xi A(u)) \, du, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(1)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

- We consider the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  defined as follows.
- Let  $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$  and  $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to M_n(\mathbb{R})$  be given, where  $M_n(\mathbb{R})$  denotes the class of all  $n \times n$  real matrices.
- Assuming the matrix A(u) be nonsingular for almost every u with  $\Phi(u) \neq 0$ , we define  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  by

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(u) |\det A(u)|^{-1} f(x^{t}A(u)^{-1}) du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

- where  ${}^{t}A(u)^{-1}$  denotes the inverse of the transpose of the matrix A(u), and  $x {}^{t}A(u)^{-1}$  denotes the row *n*-vector obtained by multiplying the row *n*-vector *x* by the  $n \times n$  matrix  ${}^{t}A(u)^{-1}$ .
- The Fourier transform of  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f$  is (formally) calculated from the definition as

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f)^{\wedge}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(u)\widehat{f}(\xi A(u)) \, du, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(1)

• To be precise, we have to put some conditions on  $\Phi$ , A, and f so that  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f$  is well-defined and the formula (1) holds.

We give preliminary argument concerning the definition of  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  and formula (1).

For functions  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$ ,  $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{C}$ , consider

$$(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(u) |\det A(u)|^{-1} f(x^{t}A(u)^{-1}) du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and

$$(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\Phi,A}f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(u) f(xA(u)) \, du, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We always assume that  $\Phi$ , A, and f are Borel measurable functions. Defining

$$L_A(\Phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Phi(u)| |\det A(u)|^{-1/2} \, du,$$

we have the following.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

**Proposition.** If  $L_A(\Phi) < \infty$ , then for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the functions  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f$ and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\Phi,A}f$  are well-defined almost everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the inequalities

$$\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le L_A(\Phi)\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

and

$$\|\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\Phi,A}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le L_A(\Phi) \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

hold. Thus  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  and  $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\Phi,A}$  are well-defined bounded operators in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $L_A(\Phi) < \infty$ .

The next proposition gives the formula (1).

**Proposition.** If  $L_A(\Phi) < \infty$ , then  $(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}f)^{\wedge} = \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\Phi,A}\widehat{f}$  for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

 On account of Theorems C and D, one may suppose that the multidimensional operator H<sub>Φ,A</sub> is bounded in H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>), 0 one merely assumes Φ and A to be sufficiently smooth and Φ to be with compact support.

- On account of Theorems C and D, one may suppose that the multidimensional operator H<sub>Φ,A</sub> is bounded in H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>), 0 one merely assumes Φ and A to be sufficiently smooth and Φ to be with compact support.
- However, this naive generalization of Theorem C is false. There are examples of smooth Φ with compact support and smooth A for which H<sub>Φ,A</sub> is not bounded in the Hardy space H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>), 0

- On account of Theorems C and D, one may suppose that the multidimensional operator H<sub>Φ,A</sub> is bounded in H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>), 0 one merely assumes Φ and A to be sufficiently smooth and Φ to be with compact support.
- However, this naive generalization of Theorem C is false. There are examples of smooth Φ with compact support and smooth A for which H<sub>Φ,A</sub> is not bounded in the Hardy space H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>), 0
- This leads to conclusion that A, or Φ, or both of them should be subject to additional assumptions. The nature and type of such assumptions is, in a sense, the main issue, or, say, spirit of our work.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- On account of Theorems C and D, one may suppose that the multidimensional operator H<sub>Φ,A</sub> is bounded in H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>), 0 one merely assumes Φ and A to be sufficiently smooth and Φ to be with compact support.
- However, this naive generalization of Theorem C is false. There are examples of smooth Φ with compact support and smooth A for which H<sub>Φ,A</sub> is not bounded in the Hardy space H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>), 0
- This leads to conclusion that A, or Φ, or both of them should be subject to additional assumptions. The nature and type of such assumptions is, in a sense, the main issue, or, say, spirit of our work.
- Indeed, for positive results, we introduce an algebraic condition on A and prove the Hardy space boundedness of H<sub>Φ,A</sub>. This is a generalization of Theorem C to the multidimensional case.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

## Multidimensional result

**Theorem.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , 0 , and <math>M = [n/p - n/2] + 1. Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{C}$  be a function of class  $C^M$  with compact support, and  $A : \mathbb{R}^N \to M_n(\mathbb{R})$  be a mapping of class  $C^{M+1}$ . Assume the matrix A(u) is nonsingular for all  $u \in \text{supp}\Phi$ . Also assume  $\Phi$  and A satisfy the following condition:

$$\begin{cases} \text{for all } (u, y, \xi) \in \text{supp}\Phi \times \Sigma^{n-1} \times \Sigma^{n-1}, \\ \text{there exists a } j = j(u, y, \xi) \in \{1, \dots, N\} \text{ such that} \\ \left\langle y, \xi \frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_j} \right\rangle \neq 0, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $\Sigma = \Sigma^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$ . Then the operator  $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi,A}$  is bounded in  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ののの

#### Condition in dimension two

•  $u = (u_1, u_2)$   $\frac{\partial_j := \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}}{\partial u_j} \quad j = 1, 2$  $\frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_j} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_j a_{11}(u) & \partial_j a_{12}(u) \\ \partial_j a_{21}(u) & \partial_j a_{22}(u) \end{pmatrix}$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

### Condition in dimension two

• 
$$u = (u_1, u_2)$$
  
 $\frac{\partial_j := \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}}{\partial u_j}$   $j = 1, 2$   
 $\frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_j} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_j a_{11}(u) & \partial_j a_{12}(u) \\ \partial_j a_{21}(u) & \partial_j a_{22}(u) \end{pmatrix}$ 

•  $(\cos y, \sin y)$  in place of y and  $(\cos \xi, \sin \xi)$  in place of  $\xi$ 

• • • • • • • • • • • •

### Condition in dimension two

• 
$$u = (u_1, u_2)$$
  
 $\frac{\partial_j := \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j}}{\partial u_j}$   $j = 1, 2$   
 $\frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_j} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_j a_{11}(u) & \partial_j a_{12}(u) \\ \partial_j a_{21}(u) & \partial_j a_{22}(u) \end{pmatrix}$ 

(cos y, sin y) in place of y and (cos ξ, sin ξ) in place of ξ
Condition: for some j

$$\left\langle (\cos y, \sin y), (\cos \xi, \sin \xi) \frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_j} \right\rangle$$
$$\left\langle (\cos y, \sin y), (\cos \xi \partial_j a_{11}(u) + \sin \xi \partial_j a_{21}(u), \\ \cos \xi \partial_j a_{12}(u) + \sin \xi \partial_j a_{22}(u)) \right\rangle$$
$$= \cos y \cos \xi \partial_j a_{11}(u) + \cos y \sin \xi \partial_j a_{21}(u) \\ + \sin y \cos \xi \partial_j a_{12}(u) + \sin y \sin \xi \partial_j a_{22}(u)$$

\_

• • • • • • • • • • • •

#### Example

Let  $\Phi$  be a nonnegative smooth function on  $(0,\infty)$  with compact support. Assume  $\Phi(s) > 1$  for 1 < s < 2. Then, for  $n \ge 2$  and  $0 , the operator <math>(Hf)(x) = \int_0^\infty \Phi(s)f(sx) \, ds$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , is not bounded in  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Let  $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$  be the Lie group of real  $n \times n$  orthogonal matrices with determinant 1 and let  $\mu$  be the Haar measure on  $SO(n, \mathbb{R})$ .

#### Example

For  $n \ge 2$  and 0 , the operator

$$(Hf)(x) = \int_{SO(n,\mathbb{R})} f(xP) \, d\mu(P), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

is not bounded in  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Example below should be compared with the preceding examples; the difference is only more dimensions for averaging but the result is quite opposite.

#### Example

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , 0 , and <math>M = [n/p - n/2] + 1. Let  $\Phi: (0,\infty) \times SO(n,\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{C}$  be a function of class  $C^M$  with compact support. Then the operator

$$(Hf)(x) = \int_{(0,\infty)\times SO(n,\mathbb{R})} \Phi(s,P) f(sxP) \, ds d\mu(P), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

is bounded in  $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

Elijah Liflyand joint work with Akihiko Miyach

We give some remarks concerning the number N in the condition (2). To simplify notation, we write  $B_j = \frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_j}$ . Thus  $B_1, \ldots, B_N$  are  $n \times n$  real matrices.

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

We give some remarks concerning the number N in the condition (2). To simplify notation, we write  $B_j = \frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_j}$ . Thus  $B_1, \ldots, B_N$  are  $n \times n$  real matrices.

• We consider the following condition:

 $\begin{cases} \text{for all } (y,\xi) \in \Sigma^{n-1} \times \Sigma^{n-1}, \text{ there exists a } j \in \{1,\ldots,N\} \\ \text{such that } \langle y,\xi B_j \rangle \neq 0. \end{cases}$ (3)

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

We give some remarks concerning the number N in the condition (2). To simplify notation, we write  $B_j = \frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_j}$ . Thus  $B_1, \ldots, B_N$  are  $n \times n$  real matrices.

• We consider the following condition:

 $\begin{cases} \text{for all } (y,\xi) \in \Sigma^{n-1} \times \Sigma^{n-1}, \text{ there exists a } j \in \{1,\ldots,N\} \\ \text{such that } \langle y,\xi B_j \rangle \neq 0. \end{cases}$ (3)

• We shall say that (3) is possible if there exist  $B_1, \ldots, B_N \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  which satisfy (3).

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

We give some remarks concerning the number N in the condition (2). To simplify notation, we write  $B_j = \frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_j}$ . Thus  $B_1, \ldots, B_N$  are  $n \times n$  real matrices.

• We consider the following condition:

 $\begin{cases} \text{for all } (y,\xi) \in \Sigma^{n-1} \times \Sigma^{n-1}, \text{ there exists a } j \in \{1,\ldots,N\} \\ \text{such that } \langle y,\xi B_j \rangle \neq 0. \end{cases}$ (3)

- We shall say that (3) is possible if there exist  $B_1, \ldots, B_N \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  which satisfy (3).
- The following statement is valid.
  Proposition. (1) The condition (3) is possible only if N ≥ n.
  (2) If n is odd and n ≥ 3, then (3) is possible only if N ≥ n + 1.
  (3) For all n ≥ 2, the condition (3) is possible with N = 1 + n(n 1)/2. If n ≥ 4, then (3) is possible with an N < 1 + n(n 1)/2.</li>

# Bibliography

- J. Chen, D. Fan and S. Wang, *Hausdorff Operators on Euclidean Spaces*, Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. (Ser. B) (4) **28** (2014), 548–564.
- J. Chen, D. Fan, X. Lin, and J. Ruan, The fractional Hausdorff operators on the Hardy spaces H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ<sup>n</sup>), Analysis Math., 42 (1) (2016), 1–17.
- Y. Kanjin, The Hausdorff operators on the real Hardy spaces H<sup>p</sup>(ℝ), Studia Math. 148 (2001), 37–45.
- A. Lerner and E. Liflyand, *Multidimensional Hausdorff operators on the real Hardy space*, J. Austr. Math. Soc. **83** (2007), 79–86.
- E. Liflyand, Boundedness of multidimensional Hausdorff operators on  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged) **74** (2008), 845–851.
- E. Liflyand, *Hausdorff Operators on Hardy Spaces*, Eurasian Math. J.
   4 (2013), no. 4, 101–141.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Bibliography

- E. Liflyand and F. Móricz, *The Hausdorff operator is bounded on the real Hardy space*  $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ , Proc. AMS **128** (2000), 1391–1396.
- E. Liflyand and A. Miyachi, Boundedness of the Hausdorff operators in H<sup>p</sup> spaces, 0 194(3) (2009), 279–292.
- E. Liflyand and A. Miyachi, *Boundedness of multidimensional Hausdorff operators in*  $H^p$  *spaces,* 0 , to appear in the Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- A. Miyachi, Weak factorization of distributions in H<sup>p</sup> spaces, Pacific J. Math. 115 (1984), 165–175.
- A. Miyachi, *Boundedness of the Cesàro operator in Hardy Spaces*, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. **10** (2004), 83–92.
- F. Weisz, The boundedness of the Hausdorff operator on multi-dimensional Hardy spaces, Analysis 24 (2004), 183–195.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >