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## For the theory of Hardy spaces $H^{p}, 0<p<1$

the Hausdorff operators turn out to be a very effective testing area, in dimension one and especially in several dimensions.

- After publication of the paper by L-Móricz in 2000, Hausdorff operators have attracted much attention.
- In contrast to the study of the Hausdorff operators in $L^{p}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and in the Hardy space $H^{1}$, the study of these operators in the Hardy spaces $H^{p}$ with $p<1$ holds a specific place and there are very few results on this topic.
- In dimension one, after Kanjin, Miyachi, and Weisz, more or less final results were given in a joint paper by L-Miyachi.
- The results differ from those for $L^{p}, 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, and $H^{1}$, since they involve smoothness conditions on the averaging function, which seem unusual but unavoidable.
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Given a function $\phi$ on the half line $(0, \infty)$, the Hausdorff operator $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ is defined by
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Thus, $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ is bounded in $L^{p}(\mathbb{R}), 1 \leq p \leq \infty$, provided $A_{p}(\phi)<\infty$.

- Notice that the above simple argument for using Minkowski's inequality cannot be applied to $H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ with $p<1$.
- We shall simply say that $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ is bounded in $H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ if $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ is well-defined in a dense subspace of $H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ and if it is extended to a bounded operator in $H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$.
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- Theorem C. Let $0<p<1$ and $M=[1 / p-1 / 2]+1$. If $\phi$ is a function on $(0, \infty)$ of class $C^{M}$ and $\operatorname{supp} \phi$ is a compact subset of $(0, \infty)$, then $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ is bounded in $H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$.
- It is noteworthy that the above theorems impose certain smoothness assumption on $\phi$. In fact, this smoothness assumption cannot be removed since we have the next theorem.
- Theorem D. (L-Miyachi) There exists a function $\phi$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\phi$ is bounded, $\operatorname{supp} \phi$ is a compact subset of $(0, \infty)$, and, for every $p \in(0,1)$, the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\phi}$ is not bounded in $H^{p}(\mathbb{R})$.


## Special atomic decomposition - Miyachi

Definition. Let $0<p \leqq 1$ and let $M$ be a positive integer. For $0<s<\infty$, we define $\mathcal{A}_{p, M}(s)$ as the set of all those $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for which $\widehat{f}(\xi)=0$ for $|\xi| \leqq \frac{1}{s}$ and

$$
\left\|D^{\alpha} \widehat{f}\right\|_{L^{2}} \leqq s^{|\alpha|-\frac{n}{p}+\frac{n}{2}}, \quad|\alpha| \leq M
$$

We define $\mathcal{A}_{p, M}$ as the union of $\mathcal{A}_{p, M}(s)$ over all $0<s<\infty$. Lemma. Let $0<p \leqq 1$ and $M$ be a positive integer satisfying $M>\frac{n}{p}-\frac{n}{2}$. Then there exists a constant $c_{p, M}$, depending only on $n, p$ and $M$, such that the following hold.
(1) $\quad\left\|f\left(\cdot-x_{0}\right)\right\|_{H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leqq c_{p, M}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{A}_{p, M}$ and all $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$;
(2) Every $f \in H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ can be decomposed as $f=\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j} f_{j}\left(\cdot-x_{j}\right)$, where $f_{j} \in \mathcal{A}_{p, M}, x_{j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, 0 \leqq \lambda_{j}<\infty$, and
$\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{j}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leqq c_{p, M}\|f\|_{H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}$, and the series converges in $H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
If $f \in H^{p} \cap L^{2}$, then this decomposition can be made so that the series converges in $L^{2}$ as well.
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- There are many objections, the main one - it is not a Hausdorff operator!

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|u|^{-n} \Phi(u) f\left(\frac{x}{|u|}\right) d u
$$

is but indeed is not bounded in any $H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ with $p<1$.

## More general operators

Before proceeding to the multivariate case, consider a somewhat more advanced one-dimensional version of the Hausdorff operator, apparently first introduced by Kuang:

$$
(\mathcal{H} f)(x)=\left(\mathcal{H}_{\varphi, a} f\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} \frac{\varphi(t)}{a(t)} f\left(\frac{x}{a(t)}\right) d t
$$

where $a(t)>0$ and $a^{\prime}(t)>0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}$except maybe $t=0$.
Theorem E. Let $0<p<1, M=[1 / p-1 / 2]+1$, and let $\epsilon$ be a positive real number. Suppose $\varphi$ is a function of class $C^{M}$ on $(0, \infty)$ such that $\varphi$ and $a$ satisfy the compatibility condition

$$
\left|\left(\frac{1}{a^{\prime}(t)} \frac{d}{d t}\right)^{k} \frac{\varphi(t)}{a^{\prime}(t)}\right| \leqq \min \left\{|a(t)|^{\epsilon},|a(t)|^{-\epsilon}\right\}|a(t)|^{-1 / p-k}
$$

for $k=0,1, \ldots, M$. Then $\mathcal{H}_{\varphi}, a$ is a bounded linear operator in $H^{p}$.
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## Definitions

We give preliminary argument concerning the definition of $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}$ and formula (1).
For functions $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, A: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$, and $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, consider

$$
\left(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} f\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi(u)|\operatorname{det} A(u)|^{-1} f\left(x^{t} A(u)^{-1}\right) d u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

and

$$
\left(\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\Phi, A} f\right)(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \Phi(u) f(x A(u)) d u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

We always assume that $\Phi, A$, and $f$ are Borel measurable functions. Defining

$$
L_{A}(\Phi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|\Phi(u)||\operatorname{det} A(u)|^{-1 / 2} d u
$$

we have the following.

## Definitions

Proposition. If $L_{A}(\Phi)<\infty$, then for all $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ the functions $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} f$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\Phi, A} f$ are well-defined almost everywhere on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and the inequalities

$$
\left\|\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq L_{A}(\Phi)\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

and

$$
\left\|\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\Phi, A} f\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq L_{A}(\Phi)\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

hold. Thus $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\Phi, A}$ are well-defined bounded operators in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if $L_{A}(\Phi)<\infty$.

The next proposition gives the formula (1).
Proposition. If $L_{A}(\Phi)<\infty$, then $\left(\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A} f\right)^{\wedge}=\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\Phi, A} \widehat{f}$ for all $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

## Guess

- On account of Theorems C and D, one may suppose that the multidimensional operator $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}$ is bounded in $H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 0<p<1$, if one merely assumes $\Phi$ and $A$ to be sufficiently smooth and $\Phi$ to be with compact support.
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## Guess

- On account of Theorems $C$ and $D$, one may suppose that the multidimensional operator $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}$ is bounded in $H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 0<p<1$, if one merely assumes $\Phi$ and $A$ to be sufficiently smooth and $\Phi$ to be with compact support.
- However, this naive generalization of Theorem $C$ is false. There are examples of smooth $\Phi$ with compact support and smooth $A$ for which $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}$ is not bounded in the Hardy space $H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), 0<p<1, n \geq 2$.
- This leads to conclusion that $A$, or $\Phi$, or both of them should be subject to additional assumptions. The nature and type of such assumptions is, in a sense, the main issue, or, say, spirit of our work.
- Indeed, for positive results, we introduce an algebraic condition on $A$ and prove the Hardy space boundedness of $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}$. This is a generalization of Theorem C to the multidimensional case.


## Multidimensional result

Theorem. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2,0<p<1$, and $M=[n / p-n / 2]+1$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}, \Phi: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function of class $C^{M}$ with compact support, and $A: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ be a mapping of class $C^{M+1}$. Assume the matrix $A(u)$ is nonsingular for all $u \in \operatorname{supp} \Phi$. Also assume $\Phi$ and $A$ satisfy the following condition:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { for all }(u, y, \xi) \in \operatorname{supp} \Phi \times \Sigma^{n-1} \times \Sigma^{n-1}  \tag{2}\\
\text { there exists a } j=j(u, y, \xi) \in\{1, \ldots, N\} \text { such that } \\
\left\langle y, \xi \frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_{j}}\right\rangle \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\Sigma=\Sigma^{n-1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:|x|=1\right\}$. Then the operator $\mathcal{H}_{\Phi, A}$ is bounded in $H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

## Condition in dimension two

- $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial_{j}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{j}} \quad j=1,2 \\
\frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_{j}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\partial_{j} a_{11}(u) & \partial_{j} a_{12}(u) \\
\partial_{j} a_{21}(u) & \partial_{j} a_{22}(u)
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$
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- ( $\cos y, \sin y)$ in place of $y$ and $(\cos \xi, \sin \xi)$ in place of $\xi$


## Condition in dimension two

- $u=\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \quad \partial_{j}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{j}} \quad j=1,2$

$$
\frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_{j}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\partial_{j} a_{11}(u) & \partial_{j} a_{12}(u) \\
\partial_{j} a_{21}(u) & \partial_{j} a_{22}(u)
\end{array}\right)
$$

- ( $\cos y, \sin y)$ in place of $y$ and $(\cos \xi, \sin \xi)$ in place of $\xi$
- Condition: for some $j$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle(\cos y, \sin y),(\cos \xi, \sin \xi) \frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_{j}}\right\rangle \\
&=\left\langle(\cos y, \sin y),\left(\cos \xi \partial_{j} a_{11}(u)+\sin \xi \partial_{j} a_{21}(u),\right.\right. \\
&\left.\left.\cos \xi \partial_{j} a_{12}(u)+\sin \xi \partial_{j} a_{22}(u)\right)\right\rangle \\
&=\cos y \cos \xi \partial_{j} a_{11}(u)+\cos y \sin \xi \partial_{j} a_{21}(u) \\
&+\sin y \cos \xi \partial_{j} a_{12}(u)+\sin y \sin \xi \partial_{j} a_{22}(u)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Examples - unbounded

## Example

Let $\Phi$ be a nonnegative smooth function on $(0, \infty)$ with compact support. Assume $\Phi(s)>1$ for $1<s<2$. Then, for $n \geq 2$ and $0<p<1$, the operator $(H f)(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \Phi(s) f(s x) d s, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, is not bounded in $H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Let $S O(n, \mathbb{R})$ be the Lie group of real $n \times n$ orthogonal matrices with determinant 1 and let $\mu$ be the Haar measure on $S O(n, \mathbb{R})$.

## Example

For $n \geq 2$ and $0<p<1$, the operator

$$
(H f)(x)=\int_{S O(n, \mathbb{R})} f(x P) d \mu(P), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

is not bounded in $H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

## Example - bounded

Example below should be compared with the preceding examples; the difference is only more dimensions for averaging but the result is quite opposite.

## Example

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2,0<p<1$, and $M=[n / p-n / 2]+1$. Let $\Phi:(0, \infty) \times S O(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function of class $C^{M}$ with compact support. Then the operator

$$
(H f)(x)=\int_{(0, \infty) \times S O(n, \mathbb{R})} \Phi(s, P) f(s x P) d s d \mu(P), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

is bounded in $H^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

## Dimensions

We give some remarks concerning the number $N$ in the condition (2). To simplify notation, we write $B_{j}=\frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_{j}}$. Thus $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{N}$ are $n \times n$ real matrices.

## Dimensions

We give some remarks concerning the number $N$ in the condition (2). To simplify notation, we write $B_{j}=\frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_{j}}$. Thus $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{N}$ are $n \times n$ real matrices.

- We consider the following condition:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { for all }(y, \xi) \in \Sigma^{n-1} \times \Sigma^{n-1}, \text { there exists a } j \in\{1, \ldots, N\}  \tag{3}\\
\text { such that }\left\langle y, \xi B_{j}\right\rangle \neq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Dimensions

We give some remarks concerning the number $N$ in the condition (2). To simplify notation, we write $B_{j}=\frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_{j}}$. Thus $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{N}$ are $n \times n$ real matrices.

- We consider the following condition:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { for all }(y, \xi) \in \Sigma^{n-1} \times \Sigma^{n-1}, \text { there exists a } j \in\{1, \ldots, N\}  \tag{3}\\
\text { such that }\left\langle y, \xi B_{j}\right\rangle \neq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

- We shall say that (3) is possible if there exist $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{N} \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfy (3).


## Dimensions

We give some remarks concerning the number $N$ in the condition (2). To simplify notation, we write $B_{j}=\frac{\partial A(u)}{\partial u_{j}}$. Thus $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{N}$ are $n \times n$ real matrices.

- We consider the following condition:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { for all }(y, \xi) \in \Sigma^{n-1} \times \Sigma^{n-1}, \text { there exists a } j \in\{1, \ldots, N\}  \tag{3}\\
\text { such that }\left\langle y, \xi B_{j}\right\rangle \neq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

- We shall say that (3) is possible if there exist $B_{1}, \ldots, B_{N} \in M_{n}(\mathbb{R})$ which satisfy (3).
- The following statement is valid.

Proposition. (1) The condition (3) is possible only if $N \geq n$.
(2) If $n$ is odd and $n \geq 3$, then (3) is possible only if $N \geq n+1$.
(3) For all $n \geq 2$, the condition (3) is possible with $N=1+n(n-1) / 2$. If $n \geq 4$, then (3) is possible with an $N<1+n(n-1) / 2$.
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